## TEST CASE: Executing the code inline with revision from row perspective:

```
*********Current completed sudoku board(s): 0 out of 108,883,584,818,776,183,656,945,007,213,012,309,135,068,193,536,000 Attempts: 1
NUMBER RECORDED PERMUTATION SEQUENCE VIOLATIONS (includes duplicate entries): 306
NUMBER BLOCKED SEQUENCES IN EXECUTION: 0
SUCCESSFUL INPUTTED 3x3 GRIDS ONTO BOARD WITHOUT VIOLATION: 2
Better luck next time, failed on board attempt:0
                                                                                                                                   Permutations selected: ([10, 3, 11, 4, 6, 7, 2, 8, 5])minimum: 1 maximum:11
Moving onto Board Number: 1
 6 1 7 9 5 3 0 0 0 0 2 8 9 4 7 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
                                                                          We can see that first two grids are fine. Note we are using a smaller selection of 3x3 grids for this test run...
                                                                                                                                                                                                                     6 1 7 <mark>9 5 3 9</mark> 0 0 2 8 9 4 7 6 0 0 0 3 4 5 8 2 1 0 0 0
  000000000
                                                                                                                                                                                                                     0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
  000000000
                                                                                                                                                                                                                      000000000
   Selecting grid (3x3) 3 from : 9
  Total numbers processed so far: 19 out of 81
Current offset in 9x9 grid: 6
Starting in this col in 9 x 9: 6
                                                                                                                                                                                                                     000000000
   The current coordinate(3x3): (0,0)
  Following number chosen: 9 being stored at coordinate(9x9): (0,6)
 being stored at coordinate(9x9): (0,6)
currently processing this from 3 x 3:(0,0)
Number: 1 has occured: 1 times in row 0 grid number: 3
Number: 2 has occured: 0 times in row 0 grid number: 3
Number: 3 has occured: 1 times in row 0 grid number: 3
Number: 4 has occured: 0 times in row 0 grid number: 3
Number: 5 has occured: 1 times in row 0 grid number: 3
Number: 6 has occured: 1 times in row 0 grid number: 3
Number: 7 has occured: 1 times in row 0 grid number: 3
Number: 8 has occured: 1 times in row 0 grid number: 3
Number: 9 has occured: 1 times in row 0 grid number: 3
                                                                                                                                                                               This information is wrong, we can see that it has stored first three grids. This is correct choice. But I need to validate if message for first two blocks is consistent with logic or if I accidentally wrote wrong output for actual correct logic.
  Number: 8 has occured: 0 times in row 0 grid number: 3
  Number: 9 has occured: 2 times in row 0 grid number: 3
2Blocked permutation sequence: [10, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
  First two blocks violating on row 2Blocked permutation sequence: [10, 3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] First two blocks violating on row
  28locked permutation sequence: [10, 3, 11, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
First two blocks violating on row
38locked permutation sequence: [11, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
                                                                                                                                                                             This is correct from perspective of reversing the sequence. But I need to closely check the logic and associated
 3Blocked permutation sequence: [11, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] first two blocks violating in row, stored in reverse
3Blocked permutation sequence: [11, 3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] first two blocks violating in row, stored in reverse
3Blocked permutation sequence: [11, 3, 10, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] first two blocks violating in row, stored in reverse
6Blocked permutation sequence: [3, 11, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] 7Blocked permutation sequence: [11, 3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
                                                                                                                                                                             message (similar to above)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Both of these are fine, but they deal with
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         last two blocks which are the ones actually violating. And it has performed a reversal
```

I am now going back over my code again.

I am not entirely sure but once I completed the new coding, I swapped order of these major blocks of code:

```
//so in this state we would be up to block 2 on the given row (ie offset==3)
- if (occurenceNumberRow) - && !thirdBlockHasViolatedAgainstSecondBlockInRow && !thirdBlockHasViolatedAgainstFirstBlockInRow)
- {

For the 2block and 3block outputs, it is entering here...
It is performing this straight away after it acknowledged that occurences a number exceeded 1...
Which is the case for number 9. We know that both booleans will be set to false...
My comment about offset==3 is currently false.
I decided at last moment to change the order of this big if block with the below...

- //We need to make decision from the end of each row if (offset==6)
- {

I think it is more sensible I swap them back again, since once it has performed logic from offset==6, it will almost definitely have the correct state for the two booleans above.. Right now both are in default state.
```

```
NUMBER RECORDED PERMUTATION SEQUENCE VIOLATIONS (includes duplicate entries): 372
NUMBER BLOCKED SEQUENCES IN EXECUTION: 0
SUCCESSFUL INPUTTED 3x3 GRIDS ONTO BOARD WITHOUT VIOLATION: 1
                                                 Permutations selected: ([7, 2, 9, 5, 6, 1, 8, 4, 10])minimum: 1 maximum:11
Better luck next time, failed on board attempt:0
2Blocked permutation sequence: [7, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
                                                         IT now seems perfect, any I
First two blocks violating on row
                                                         do not expect anymore block
2Blocked permutation sequence: [7, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
                                                         messages until it reaches last
First two blocks violating on row
                                                         grid in the row..
3Blocked permutation sequence: [2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
                                                          This was another modification
first two blocks violating in row, stored in reverse
                                                         amongst the mass changes in
3Blocked permutation sequence: [2, 7, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
                                                         the code.
first two blocks violating in row, stored in reverse
```

## TEST CASE: Analysing further into screen outputs

Number: 9 has occured: 0 times in row 0 grid number: 2

FILLING BOARD REAL TIME: 10

```
Number: 4 has occured: 2 times in row 0 grid number: 2
2Blocked permutation sequence: [7, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
First two blocks violating on row
2Blocked permutation sequence: [7, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
First two blocks violating on row
3Blocked permutation sequence: [2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
                                                            same
first two blocks violating in row, stored in reverse
3Blocked permutation sequence: [2, 7, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
first two blocks violating in row, stored in reverse
Number: 5 has occured: 1 times in row 0 grid number: 2
Number: 6 has occured: 0 times in row 0 grid number: 2
Number: 7 has occured: 0 times in row 0 grid number: 2
Number: 8 has occured: 2 times in row 0 grid number: 2
2Blocked permutation sequence: [7, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
First two blocks violating on row
2Blocked permutation sequence: [7, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
First two blocks violating on row
3Blocked permutation sequence: [2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
first two blocks violating in row, stored in reverse
3Blocked permutation sequence: [2, 7, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
first two blocks violating in row, stored in reverse
Number: 9 has occured: 0 times in row 0 grid number: 2
FILLING BOARD REAL TIME: 12
8 4 1 8 5 4 0 0 0
723000000
956000000
00000000
```

For the same grid 2, it has performed same activities again. Although it is correct on the observations, we have already stored [7,2] and [2,7] once the appeared on

## TEST CASE: Running test again

```
Following number chosen: 9
being stored at coordinate(9x9): (2,5)
currently processing this from 3 \times 3:(2,2)
Number: 1 has occured: 0 times in row 2 grid number: 2
Number: 2 has occured: 1 times in row 2 grid number: 2
Number: 3 has occured: 1 times in row 2 grid number: 2
Number: 4 has occured: 0 times in row 2 grid number: 2
Number: 5 has occured: 1 times in row 2 grid number: 2
Number: 6 has occured: 0 times in row 2 grid number: 2
Number: 7 has occured: 0 times in row 2 grid number: 2
Number: 8 has occured: 2 times in row 2 grid number: 2
Number: 9 has occured: 1 times in row 2 grid number: 2
FILLING BOARD REAL TIME: 18
5 1 6 6 7 1 0 0 0
7 4 9 2 4 3 0 0 0
                       It is perfect execution, it has
2 3 8 8 5 9 0 0 0
                       reached to end of 18 entries and
                       stored violation of the first and
000000000
                       second block at single moment...
00000000
000000000
000000000
000000000
000000000
```

I will now follow outputs beyond this since its critical area of code:

## TEST CASE: Examining further outputs

There seemed to be lots repeating, so I tightened up some of the if loops:

```
//if-it-has-not-performed-a-break,-we-know-that-other-Occurrence-of--
//possibleNumbers[j]-is-in-gridNumber-2.-
//so-we-store-gridNumber-2-and-3-
//so-we-store-gridNumber-2-and-3-
//so-we-store-gridNumber-2-and-3-
//so-we-store-gridNumber-2-and-3-
//so-we-store-gridNumber-2-and-3-
//so-we-store-gridNumber-2-and-3-
//so-we-store-gridNumber-Sequence[nosition][@]-storeRetrieved3v3Grid[1]-
//we-are-storing-grid-2-and-3-as-blocked-
//we-are-storing-grid-2-and-3-as-blocked-
//so-we-store-grid-1--
```

```
This variable reset
was best placed
here since it marked
end of filling the 3x3
grid

if (number0f3x3Processed==9)

colCount=0;

rowCount=0;

hasRegisteredViolationBlock=false;
```

```
wumber: 9 has <u>occured</u>: Θ times in now z grid number: z
FILLING BOARD REAL TIME: 18
746249000
859863000
1 3 2 7 5 1 0 0 0
99999999
99999999
000000000
000000000
99999999
00000000
Selecting grid (3x3) 3 from : 9
                                                       This is ok
Total numbers processed so far: 19 out of 81
Current offset in 9x9 grid: 6
Starting in this col in 9 \times 9: 6
The current coordinate(3x3): (0,0)
Following number chosen: 2
being stored at coordinate(9x9): (0,6)
                                                                   Perhaps it is
currently processing this from 3 \times 3:(0,0)
                                                                   simple sensible
Number: 1 has occured: 0 times in row 0 grid number: 3
Number: 2 has occured: 2 times in row 0 grid number: 3
                                                                   to maximise the
                                                                   for loop or
6Blocked permutation sequence: [1, 9, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
                                                                   perform a break
Blocks 2 and 3 violating in row
                                                                   if suitable
7Blocked permutation sequence: [9, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
Blocks 2 and 3 violating in row, storing in reverse
Number: 3 has occured: 0 times in row 0 grid number: 3
Number: 4 has occured: 2 times in row 0 grid number: 3
4Blocked permutation sequence: [11, 9, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
Blocks 1 and 3 violating in row
5Blocked permutation sequence: [9, 11, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]
```

It seems issues are spiralling, so it must be related to variables being tangled up.